

Alpine County		739 sq.mi. 2 persons / sq.mi.		Median Age: 39.3																																																																													
Snapshot			Workforce																																																																														
Alpine County is a small rural mountainous county in Northern California, ranked as the least populous county in California. In 2000, the median age was 6 years older than the state of California and ranked in the top third of the counties. One of every 10 people was over 65 years of age and one in 93 was over 85 years. One in 5 adults was 65+ or disabled.			The unemployment rate was high at 9.0% (2001). Average hourly wages (2001):																																																																														
			<table border="1"> <tr> <td>RN</td> <td>HHA</td> <td>Nurse Asst</td> <td>IHSS</td> <td>MSW</td> <td>PT</td> </tr> <tr> <td>\$24.94</td> <td>\$7.73</td> <td>\$9.83</td> <td>\$7.11</td> <td>\$20.14</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			RN	HHA	Nurse Asst	IHSS	MSW	PT	\$24.94	\$7.73	\$9.83	\$7.11	\$20.14																																																																	
RN	HHA	Nurse Asst	IHSS	MSW	PT																																																																												
\$24.94	\$7.73	\$9.83	\$7.11	\$20.14																																																																													
Medi-Cal			Housing																																																																														
In Alpine County, the percent of the population who are Medi-Cal eligibles was similar to California's--one in every 7 people. One of every 100 people was 65+ and a Medi-Cal recipient.			The median home price was not available (2001). Housing vacancy rates in 2000 were not reflective of statewide averages: homeowners at 0.9%; rentals at 8.4%. Alpine had fewer households with individuals 65+ (16.8%) and 65+ living alone (5.4%) than California on average. Of those 65 and older, one in 3.3 were living alone (30.2%).																																																																														
Long Term Care: Special Programs			Transportation																																																																														
			No transportation services were reported (FY 99-00).																																																																														
Population Growth (increase since 1990): 8.5%		65+ yrs: 42.9%		85+ yrs: 160.0%																																																																													
Demographics				Percent Population Growth 1990-2000																																																																													
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Population</th> <th>1990</th> <th>%</th> <th>2000</th> <th>%</th> <th>2010</th> <th>%</th> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td colspan="2">(US Census)</td> <td colspan="2">(US Census)</td> <td colspan="2">(DOF Projection)</td> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Total Population</td> <td>1,113</td> <td>100%</td> <td>1,208</td> <td>100%</td> <td>1,449</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Over 60</td> <td>133</td> <td>12%</td> <td>187</td> <td>15%</td> <td>244</td> <td>17%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Disabled (21-64)</td> <td>not available</td> <td>not available</td> <td>113</td> <td>9%</td> <td>not available</td> <td>not available</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total 65+</td> <td>84</td> <td>8%</td> <td>120</td> <td>10%</td> <td>178</td> <td>12%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Over 65 + Disabled (21-64)</td> <td>not available</td> <td>not available</td> <td>233</td> <td>19%</td> <td>not available</td> <td>not available</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total 65-74</td> <td>48</td> <td>4%</td> <td>75</td> <td>6%</td> <td>96</td> <td>7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total 75-84</td> <td>31</td> <td>3%</td> <td>32</td> <td>3%</td> <td>59</td> <td>4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total 85+</td> <td>5</td> <td>0%</td> <td>13</td> <td>1%</td> <td>23</td> <td>2%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Population	1990	%	2000	%	2010	%		(US Census)		(US Census)		(DOF Projection)		Total Population	1,113	100%	1,208	100%	1,449	100%	Over 60	133	12%	187	15%	244	17%	Disabled (21-64)	not available	not available	113	9%	not available	not available	Total 65+	84	8%	120	10%	178	12%	Total Over 65 + Disabled (21-64)	not available	not available	233	19%	not available	not available	Total 65-74	48	4%	75	6%	96	7%	Total 75-84	31	3%	32	3%	59	4%	Total 85+	5	0%	13	1%	23	2%	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>8.5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>65+ yrs:</td> <td>42.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>85+ yrs:</td> <td>160.0%</td> </tr> </table>		Total	8.5%	65+ yrs:	42.9%	85+ yrs:	160.0%
Population	1990	%	2000	%	2010	%																																																																											
	(US Census)		(US Census)		(DOF Projection)																																																																												
Total Population	1,113	100%	1,208	100%	1,449	100%																																																																											
Over 60	133	12%	187	15%	244	17%																																																																											
Disabled (21-64)	not available	not available	113	9%	not available	not available																																																																											
Total 65+	84	8%	120	10%	178	12%																																																																											
Total Over 65 + Disabled (21-64)	not available	not available	233	19%	not available	not available																																																																											
Total 65-74	48	4%	75	6%	96	7%																																																																											
Total 75-84	31	3%	32	3%	59	4%																																																																											
Total 85+	5	0%	13	1%	23	2%																																																																											
Total	8.5%																																																																																
65+ yrs:	42.9%																																																																																
85+ yrs:	160.0%																																																																																
Gender (2000) US Census <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Total</th> <th>65+</th> <th>85+</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Male</td> <td>52.6%</td> <td>5.4%</td> <td>0.6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Female</td> <td>47.4%</td> <td>4.6%</td> <td>0.5%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Total	65+	85+	Male	52.6%	5.4%	0.6%	Female	47.4%	4.6%	0.5%	Demographic Trends																																																																	
	Total	65+	85+																																																																														
Male	52.6%	5.4%	0.6%																																																																														
Female	47.4%	4.6%	0.5%																																																																														
Ethnicity (2000) US Census <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Total</th> <th>65+</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>100%</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>White*</td> <td>72%</td> <td>8.61%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Black or African American*</td> <td>1%</td> <td>0.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hispanic or Latino</td> <td>8%</td> <td>0.25%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Asian</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander</td> <td>0.1%</td> <td>0.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td> <td>15.6%</td> <td>1.16%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Some Other Race</td> <td>0.5%</td> <td>0.25%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Multi-Racial (two or more)</td> <td>3.4%</td> <td>0.08%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*Not of Hispanic or Latino Origin</p>					Total	65+	Total	100%	10%	White*	72%	8.61%	Black or African American*	1%	0.00%	Hispanic or Latino	8%	0.25%	Asian	0%	0.00%	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.00%	American Indian or Alaska Native	15.6%	1.16%	Some Other Race	0.5%	0.25%	Multi-Racial (two or more)	3.4%	0.08%	<p>Alpine County's population has grown at a slower pace than California in the last decade. However, there was much higher growth in the percentage of those who are over 65 and over 85--they ranked among the counties with the fastest rate of growth in the elderly population.</p> <p>In 2000, Alpine had 28% non-Whites while the state had 53% non-Whites. Almost one of every 6 persons was American Indian/Alaska Native; one of 13 was Latino/Hispanic; and one in 51 persons was multi-racial. Looking at ethnicity and age: one in 12 people was 65+/White and one in 86 was 65+ American Indian/Alaska Native.</p>																																															
	Total	65+																																																																															
Total	100%	10%																																																																															
White*	72%	8.61%																																																																															
Black or African American*	1%	0.00%																																																																															
Hispanic or Latino	8%	0.25%																																																																															
Asian	0%	0.00%																																																																															
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.00%																																																																															
American Indian or Alaska Native	15.6%	1.16%																																																																															
Some Other Race	0.5%	0.25%																																																																															
Multi-Racial (two or more)	3.4%	0.08%																																																																															
Enrollment (2000) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Eligibles</th> <th>% Tot Pop</th> <th>Elig 65+</th> <th>% 65+</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Medi-Cal Recipients</td> <td>184</td> <td>14.5%</td> <td>13</td> <td>1.03%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SSI/SSP Recipients</td> <td>26</td> <td>2.1%</td> <td>not available</td> <td>not available</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dual Eligibles</td> <td>21</td> <td>1.7%</td> <td>not available</td> <td>not available</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Eligibles	% Tot Pop	Elig 65+	% 65+	Medi-Cal Recipients	184	14.5%	13	1.03%	SSI/SSP Recipients	26	2.1%	not available	not available	Dual Eligibles	21	1.7%	not available	not available	The median household income was \$5,600 less than California's with many people living below the poverty level--one in 5.																																																									
	Eligibles	% Tot Pop	Elig 65+	% 65+																																																																													
Medi-Cal Recipients	184	14.5%	13	1.03%																																																																													
SSI/SSP Recipients	26	2.1%	not available	not available																																																																													
Dual Eligibles	21	1.7%	not available	not available																																																																													
Persons under Poverty Level: 19.5%		65+ yrs: 12.9%		Median Household Income: \$41,875																																																																													

NOTE: Data on Page 2 are from statewide sources only. Data may not include all funding sources. See notes on Data Sources.

SERVICES	ANNUAL INFORMATION		
Home and Community Based Services (County Level Data)	Providers or Sites	Serving	Expense / Allocation
Adult Day Services (ADS)			
Adult Day Health Care (ADHC) Providers	0	0	\$0
Adult Day Care (ADC) / Adult Day Support Centers (ADSC)	0	0	\$0
Multipurpose Senior Services Program (MSSP)	1	3	\$12,491
In-Home Services			
Home Health Agencies (HHAs)	0	0	not available
In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS)	9	6	\$36,664
Adult Protective Services (APS)	1	17	\$107,423
Independent Living Centers (ILCs)	1	179	\$140,780

County Level Data Not Available			
Caregiver Resource Centers (CRCs)	1	1,965	\$880,006
The Del Oro Caregiver Resource Center provides services to Colusa, Sutter, Yuba, Sierra, Nevada, Placer, El Dorado, Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, San Joaquin, Sacramento, and Yolo counties.			

PSA 12 - Multi-County AAA (County Level Data Not Available)			
Area Agencies on Aging			
\$0.76M (State) + \$0.85M (Fed) = \$1.6M			
Includes \$0.19M Info & Assistance, \$10K Case Mngmt, \$0.18M Fed Caregiver Support Prgm			
Serving: Calaveras, Mariposa, Tuolumne, Alpine and Amador Counties			
The AAA serves as an access point for persons needing information or services for elders, persons with disabilities, and their families or caregivers. The agency provides advocacy, information and assistance, local planning, funding allocations, and monitoring of services. The following may not represent all the AAA's local programs, services or expenditures:			
Nutrition			
Brown Bag	not available	546	\$25,841
Home Delivered Meals	not available	1,098	\$356,074
Congregate Meals	not available	3,592	\$231,662
Assistance / Counseling / Advocacy			
Health Insurance Counseling & Advocacy Programs (HICAP)	1	2,131	\$141,075
Ombudsman	1	170	\$60,179
Care Coordination			
Linkages	1	122	\$250,758
Specialized Care			
Alzheimer's Day Care Resource Centers (ADCRCs)	1	30	\$86,000
Senior Service Corps			
Foster Grandparents (number of senior volunteers)	not available	5	\$18,001
Senior Companions (number of senior volunteers)	not available	15	\$63,974

Facility Based Services (County Level Data)	Providers	Serving	Expense / Allocation
Freestanding Nursing Facility (NFs)	0	0	\$0
Hospital-Based Nursing Facility (NFs)	0	0	\$0
Residential Care Facilities for the Elderly (RCFEs)	0	0	\$0

County Comparisons	
How does your county rank on these indicators - in the highest, middle, or lowest third of all counties in the state?	
Indicator	Rank
85+ Pop Growth (1990-2000)	1
65+ Pop Growth (1990-2000)	3
% 65+ < Poverty	5
% Vacancy - rentals	11
% Persons < Poverty	13
Median Age	15
IHSS Providers/1,000	15
% Unemployment Rate	17
Highest Third (1-19)	
% Medi-Cal Recipients	27
Median Household Income	27
Middle Third (20-39)	
% Population 65+	42
% SSI / SSP Recipients	43
Tot Pop Growth (1990-2000)	44
% Vacancy - houses	46
ADS Providers/1,000	46
% Dual Eligibles	48
% Population 85+	48
% Medi-Cal Recipients 65+	51
% Age 21-64 Disabled	53
HHA Providers/1,000	55
% 65+ and Live Alone	56
RCFE Beds/1,000	56
Total NF Patients/1,000	57
Total Population	58
Lowest Third (40-58)	
Note: Rankings are out of 58 counties, where 1 represents the highest number and 58 represents the lowest number	

Emerging Long Term Care Issues	
~ One in 5 adults was 65+ or disabled.	~ Many seniors were living in poverty, but few seniors 65+ were on Medi-Cal, Medicare or SSI/SSP.
~ Least populous county in California.	~ Rental housing was readily available, but not homes--few seniors lived alone.
~ Fastest growth in seniors 85+ and third fastest for seniors 65+ in last decade--high median age.	~ IHSS providers were the only services, with no adult day services, NFs, home health or RCFEs.
~ Few seniors 85+ and few younger disabled adults.	
~ The unemployment rate was high and income low.	

