

Del Norte County		1,008 sq.mi. 27 persons / sq.mi.		Median Age: 36.4																																																																							
Snapshot				Workforce																																																																							
Del Norte is a small county in the redwoods on the north coast of California, with the Pacific Ocean to the west and Oregon to the north. It has one of the smallest populations of California's counties. In 2000, the median age was more than 3 years older than the state of California. More than one of every 8 people was over 65 years of age and one in 67 was over 85. One in 4 adults was 65+ or disabled.				The unemployment rate was 8.7% (2001). There were projected to be 60 job openings in the region for RNs and an estimated 35 direct care nursing staff needed in facilities (2000). Average hourly wages (2001):																																																																							
				<table border="1"> <tr> <td>RN</td> <td>HHA</td> <td>Nurse Asst</td> <td>IHSS</td> <td>MSW</td> <td>PT</td> </tr> <tr> <td>\$23.56</td> <td>\$9.90</td> <td>\$9.44</td> <td>\$6.75</td> <td>\$19.99</td> <td>\$30.26</td> </tr> </table>		RN	HHA	Nurse Asst	IHSS	MSW	PT	\$23.56	\$9.90	\$9.44	\$6.75	\$19.99	\$30.26																																																										
RN	HHA	Nurse Asst	IHSS	MSW	PT																																																																						
\$23.56	\$9.90	\$9.44	\$6.75	\$19.99	\$30.26																																																																						
Medi-Cal				Housing																																																																							
Del Norte ranked among counties with a high percent of Medi-Cal eligibles (2000)--one in every 5 people.				The median home price was not available (2001). Housing vacancy rates in 2000 were higher than statewide averages: homeowners at 3.0%; rentals at 10.6%. Del Norte had more households with individuals 65+ (24.3%) and 65+ living alone (10.1%) than California on average. Of those 65+, one in 2.7 were living alone (37.7%).																																																																							
Long Term Care: Special Programs				Transportation																																																																							
				Reported transit services for people in the cities and county of Del Norte: 52,596 passengers using special vehicles; 9 special vehicles operated peak hours and 7 operated middays during the week; 8 on Saturdays; and none on Sundays.																																																																							
Population Growth (increase since 1990): 17.3%				65+ yrs: 13.6% 85+ yrs: 85.6%																																																																							
Demographics				Percent Population Growth 1990-2000																																																																							
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Population</th> <th>1990</th> <th>%</th> <th>2000</th> <th>%</th> <th>2010</th> <th>%</th> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td colspan="2">(US Census)</td> <td colspan="2">(US Census)</td> <td colspan="2">(DOF Projection)</td> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Total Population</td> <td>23,460</td> <td>100%</td> <td>27,507</td> <td>100%</td> <td>37,257</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Over 60</td> <td>4,023</td> <td>17%</td> <td>4,513</td> <td>16%</td> <td>7,303</td> <td>20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Disabled (21-64)</td> <td>not available</td> <td>not available</td> <td>3,365</td> <td>12%</td> <td>not available</td> <td>not available</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total 65+</td> <td>3,035</td> <td>13%</td> <td>3,448</td> <td>13%</td> <td>5,448</td> <td>15%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Over 65 + Disabled (21-64)</td> <td>not available</td> <td>not available</td> <td>6,813</td> <td>25%</td> <td>not available</td> <td>not available</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total 65-74</td> <td>1,874</td> <td>8%</td> <td>1,850</td> <td>7%</td> <td>2,767</td> <td>7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total 75-84</td> <td>959</td> <td>4%</td> <td>1,223</td> <td>4%</td> <td>1,797</td> <td>5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total 85+</td> <td>202</td> <td>1%</td> <td>375</td> <td>1%</td> <td>884</td> <td>2%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Population	1990	%	2000	%	2010	%		(US Census)		(US Census)		(DOF Projection)		Total Population	23,460	100%	27,507	100%	37,257	100%	Over 60	4,023	17%	4,513	16%	7,303	20%	Disabled (21-64)	not available	not available	3,365	12%	not available	not available	Total 65+	3,035	13%	3,448	13%	5,448	15%	Total Over 65 + Disabled (21-64)	not available	not available	6,813	25%	not available	not available	Total 65-74	1,874	8%	1,850	7%	2,767	7%	Total 75-84	959	4%	1,223	4%	1,797	5%	Total 85+	202	1%	375	1%	884	2%		
Population	1990	%	2000	%	2010	%																																																																					
	(US Census)		(US Census)		(DOF Projection)																																																																						
Total Population	23,460	100%	27,507	100%	37,257	100%																																																																					
Over 60	4,023	17%	4,513	16%	7,303	20%																																																																					
Disabled (21-64)	not available	not available	3,365	12%	not available	not available																																																																					
Total 65+	3,035	13%	3,448	13%	5,448	15%																																																																					
Total Over 65 + Disabled (21-64)	not available	not available	6,813	25%	not available	not available																																																																					
Total 65-74	1,874	8%	1,850	7%	2,767	7%																																																																					
Total 75-84	959	4%	1,223	4%	1,797	5%																																																																					
Total 85+	202	1%	375	1%	884	2%																																																																					
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Gender (2000) US Census</th> <th>Total</th> <th>65+</th> <th>85+</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Male</td> <td>55.2%</td> <td>5.7%</td> <td>0.5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Female</td> <td>44.8%</td> <td>6.9%</td> <td>0.9%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Gender (2000) US Census	Total	65+	85+	Male	55.2%	5.7%	0.5%	Female	44.8%	6.9%	0.9%	Demographic Trends																																																											
Gender (2000) US Census	Total	65+	85+																																																																								
Male	55.2%	5.7%	0.5%																																																																								
Female	44.8%	6.9%	0.9%																																																																								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ethnicity (2000) US Census</th> <th>Total</th> <th>65+</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>100%</td> <td>13%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>White*</td> <td>70%</td> <td>11.72%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Black or African American*</td> <td>4%</td> <td>0.02%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hispanic or Latino</td> <td>14%</td> <td>0.33%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Asian</td> <td>2%</td> <td>0.10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander</td> <td>0.1%</td> <td>0.01%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td> <td>5.8%</td> <td>0.43%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Some Other Race</td> <td>0.1%</td> <td>0.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Multi-Racial (two or more)</td> <td>3.4%</td> <td>0.22%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*Not of Hispanic or Latino Origin</p>				Ethnicity (2000) US Census	Total	65+	Total	100%	13%	White*	70%	11.72%	Black or African American*	4%	0.02%	Hispanic or Latino	14%	0.33%	Asian	2%	0.10%	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.01%	American Indian or Alaska Native	5.8%	0.43%	Some Other Race	0.1%	0.00%	Multi-Racial (two or more)	3.4%	0.22%	Del Norte County's population has grown at a slightly faster pace than California in the last decade. The growth in those 85+ was nearly twice the state's rate; however, the growth of those 65+ was the same. In 2000, Del Norte had 30% non-Whites and the state had 53% non-Whites. Nearly one of every 14 persons was Hispanic/Latino; one in 17 was American Indian/Alaska Native; one in 24 was Black/African American; one in 26 was multi-racial; and one in 43 was Asian. Looking at age and ethnicity: one in 9 was 65+/White; one in 233 was 65+/American Indian/Alaska Native; and one in 303 was 65+/Hispanic/Latino.																																									
Ethnicity (2000) US Census	Total	65+																																																																									
Total	100%	13%																																																																									
White*	70%	11.72%																																																																									
Black or African American*	4%	0.02%																																																																									
Hispanic or Latino	14%	0.33%																																																																									
Asian	2%	0.10%																																																																									
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.01%																																																																									
American Indian or Alaska Native	5.8%	0.43%																																																																									
Some Other Race	0.1%	0.00%																																																																									
Multi-Racial (two or more)	3.4%	0.22%																																																																									
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Enrollment (2000)</th> <th>Eligibles</th> <th>% Tot Pop</th> <th>Elig 65+</th> <th>% 65+</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Medi-Cal Recipients</td> <td>6,230</td> <td>19.6%</td> <td>555</td> <td>1.75%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SSI/SSP Recipients</td> <td>1,589</td> <td>5.0%</td> <td>not available</td> <td>not available</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dual Eligibles</td> <td>1,048</td> <td>3.3%</td> <td>not available</td> <td>not available</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Enrollment (2000)	Eligibles	% Tot Pop	Elig 65+	% 65+	Medi-Cal Recipients	6,230	19.6%	555	1.75%	SSI/SSP Recipients	1,589	5.0%	not available	not available	Dual Eligibles	1,048	3.3%	not available	not available	The median household income was \$17,900 less than California's with more people living below the poverty level--one in 5.																																																			
Enrollment (2000)	Eligibles	% Tot Pop	Elig 65+	% 65+																																																																							
Medi-Cal Recipients	6,230	19.6%	555	1.75%																																																																							
SSI/SSP Recipients	1,589	5.0%	not available	not available																																																																							
Dual Eligibles	1,048	3.3%	not available	not available																																																																							
Persons under Poverty Level: 20.2%		65+ yrs: 12.5%		Median Household Income: \$29,642																																																																							

NOTE: Data on Page 2 are from statewide sources only. Data may not include all funding sources. See notes on Data Sources.

SERVICES	ANNUAL INFORMATION			County Comparisons	
Home and Community Based Services (County Level Data)	Providers or Sites	Serving	Expense / Allocation	How does your county rank on these indicators - in the highest, middle, or lowest third of all counties in the state?	
Adult Day Services (ADS)				Indicator	Rank
Adult Day Health Care (ADHC) Providers	0	0	\$0	85+ Pop Growth (1990-2000)	3
Adult Day Care (ADC) / Adult Day Support Centers (ADSC)	1	15	not available	% Vacancy - rentals	4
Multipurpose Senior Services Program (MSSP)	0	0	\$0	% SSI / SSP Recipients	5
In-Home Services				ADS Providers/1,000	5
Home Health Agencies (HHAs)	1	584	not available	% Vacancy - houses	6
In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS)	285	324	\$1,702,690	% Medi-Cal Recipients	9
Adult Protective Services (APS)	1	61	\$112,728	% Persons < Poverty	9
Independent Living Centers (ILCs)	1	105	\$335,718	% Dual Eligibles	10
County Level Data Not Available				% 65+ < Poverty	10
Caregiver Resource Centers (CRCs)	1	1,168	\$882,765	% 65+ < Poverty	10
The Redwood Caregiver Resource Center provides services to Del Norte, Humboldt, Lake, Mendocino, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma counties.				IHSS Providers/1,000	12
PSA 1 - Multi-County AAA (County Level Data Not Available)				% Unemployment Rate	18
Area Agencies on Aging				% 65+ and Live Alone	19
\$0.9M (State) + \$0.5M (Fed) = \$1.4M				% Age 21-64 Disabled	21
Includes \$0.12M Info & Assistance, \$0.11M Fed Caregiver Support Prgm				HHA Providers/1,000	21
Serving: Del Norte and Humboldt Counties				Tot Pop Growth (1990-2000)	25
The AAA serves as an access point for persons needing information or services for elders, persons with disabilities, and their families or caregivers. The agency provides advocacy, information and assistance, local planning, funding allocations, and monitoring of services. The following may not represent all the AAA's local programs, services or expenditures:				Median Age	26
Nutrition				% Population 65+	26
Brown Bag	not available	488	\$27,161	% Medi-Cal Recipients 65+	26
Home Delivered Meals	not available	375	\$309,628	% Population 85+	30
Congregate Meals	not available	1,191	\$212,411	65+ Pop Growth (1990-2000)	33
Assistance / Counseling / Advocacy					
Health Insurance Counseling & Advocacy Programs (HICAP)	1	1,933	\$143,298		
Ombudsman	1	510	\$72,511		
Care Coordination					
Linkages	1	123	\$250,758		
Specialized Care					
Alzheimer's Day Care Resource Centers (ADCRCs)	1	34	\$85,938		
Senior Service Corps					
Foster Grandparents (number of senior volunteers)	not available	18	\$78,763		
Senior Companions (number of senior volunteers)	0	0	\$0		
Facility Based Services	Providers	Serving	Expense / Allocation		
(County Level Data)					
Freestanding Nursing Facility (NFs)	1	80	\$2,065,266		
Hospital-Based Nursing Facility (NFs)	0	0	\$0		
Residential Care Facilities for the Elderly (RCFEs)	1	63	not available		
				Note: Rankings are out of 58 counties, where 1 represents the highest number and 58 represents the lowest number	
Emerging Long Term Care Issues					
~ One in 4 adults was 65+ or disabled.			~ Many people were receiving SSI/SSP, Medi-Cal and Medicare.		
~ Small county with fast growth in seniors 85+.			~ Housing was readily available and many seniors were living alone.		
~ Household income was low and the unemployment rate was high.			~ NFs, adult day services, and IHSS were more available than home health but there were few RCFEs.		
~ Many seniors 65+, and others, were living in poverty.					

Highest Third (1-19)

Middle Third (20-39)

Lowest Third (40-58)

